The Estimation of Total Personal Giving Raiph L. Neison Washington D.C. May 8, 1992

1. Historical Series

A. Based upon tax return data for itemized contributions plus an estimate of giving by nonitemizers

B. Cannot be current as there is a lag in tax return data. This requires a projection of two or three years to produce an estimate for the year just ended.

Projecting the most recent two or three years using the Personal Giving Estimating Model (PGEM)

A. The PGEM is a single equation model with 5 explanatory variables, contemporary for the year for which the projection is made

B. The five variables are Personal Income, Giving Age Population, Standard and Poors November-December Index, Time, and Political Party of the President.

C. The most important variable not included is the income tax rate, however a satisfactory single measure of the average marginal tax rate was not available.

D. Each variable was found to be statistically significant and the parameters showed stability in the face of generally high multicollinearity.

E. The model is re-estimated periodically, using the updated historical series for a progressively longer base period.

3. Estimating Nonitemizer Contributions

A. Nonitemizer giving is estimated by income class and, given the changes in Statistics of Income, the number of classes has ranged between 14 and 25. An estimate of average nonitemizer giving for an income class is multiplied by the number of nonitemizers in the class. The products are summed over the classes to produce an estimate of total nonitemized giving.

B. Average nonitemizer giving by income class is based upon University of Michigan survey data for 1972, produced for the Filer Commission.

C. To make the breakdown comparable to current income classes, income class limits and average contributions per class are projected using independent techniques. Income class limits are adjusted using the GNP deflator and average contributions per class are adjusted using an index of the average overall itemized contribution for the year. There was reason to expect that average nonitemized contributions tend to track average itemized contributions.

The Estimation of Total Personal Giving Ralph L. Nelson Washington, D.C. May 8, 1992 Page Two

4. New Survey Data on Nonitemized Giving

A. Independent Sector has conducted two surveys of individual and family giving, for 1987 and 1989. IS plans to continue to conduct the survey on a biennial basis.

B. Both itemizers and nonitemizers are included in the survey.

- C. The availability of these new survey data means that I no longer will have to project the 1972 survey data. The new IS data will become the basis for my estimate of nonitemizer giving in extending the historical series and using it to re-estimate the PGEM.
- D. A detailed comparison by income class of my projection of the Michigan nonitemizer average contribution data with the IS nonitemizer data will be made for the year 1989. If close agreement is found, the pre-1989 historical series may not have to be re-estimated. If significant disagreement is found, then a forward-backward projection may have to be made using Michigan 1972 and Independent Sector 1989 survey findings.

7

5. Factors affecting itemization and nonitemization will be examined. Among these are:

A. Changes in the standard deduction.

B. Changes in allowable itemized deductions other than contributions.

C. The Charitable Contributions Law of 1982-1986.

Preliminary: not for publication or use without permission of author.

The Estimation of Total Personal Giving in the United States

Ralph L. Nelson

Prepared for Conference on Charitable Statistics Washington, D.C. May 8, 1992

TOTAL GIVING, ITEMIZED AND NONITEMIZED

The long term trend in the amount of total, itemized and nonitemized contributions is described in Table PG-1, and that in the number of total, itemized and nonitemized tax returns is described in Table PG-2.

From 1980 to 1989 estimated total personal giving grew from \$40.71 billion to \$93.02 billion or by 128 percent. The share that was itemized on tax returns rose and then fell reaching peaks in 1985 and 1986. The share was lowest in the last two years 1988 and 1989. Historically, 1970 saw the highest share for itemized giving when almost 80 percent of the total was itemized.

A similar pattern is seen for the number of itemizers. In the 1980's the percentage of returns itemizing contributions rose and then fell and, again, the last two years had the lowest shares. Again historically 1970 had the highest share, when almost one-half of all tax returns contained itemized contributions.

Comparison of Columns (3) and (5) of Table PG-2 show that changes in the standard deduction appear to have had a significant effect on the numbers of itemized and nonitemized contributions. Also gignificant in recent years has been the reduction in the deductibility of medical expenses shown in Table PG-4.

The years 1982-1986 were ones in which the Charitable Contributions Law was in effect, when tax filers taking the standard deduction could additionally claim a deduction for charitable contributions. The data in Table PG-3 suggest that tax filers taking the standard deduction may have deferred some of their planned 1984 giving until 1985 and moved back some of their planned 1987 giving to 1986. This also appears in the projections of the Personal Giving Estimating Model (PGEM), described later. The 1984 and 1987 projections of the PGEM were higher than the "Best Estimates" based on tax return data and the 1986 projection was lower (Table PG-8).

THE "BEST ESTIMATE" OF TOTAL PERSONAL GIVING FOR 1989

The "Best Estimate" that I have just made for the 1992 Edition of Giving USA put total personal giving at \$93.02 billion. This was based on the most recent data of \$55.33 billion for contributions itemized on tax returns plus an estimate of nonitemized giving of \$37.69 billion.

In the 1990 Edition of Giving and Volunteering in the United States the 1989 survey conducted for Independent Sector found that the average contribution per household, for the 94.6 million households in the United States, was \$734. This produces an estimate of 1989 total giving of \$69.44 billion.

RLN 4== 3

3

The \$23.58 billion difference between \$93.02 billion and \$69.44 billion is considerable and invites examination of the factors contributing to it. I am particularly interested in sorting out the components of the difference because I hope to use the Independent Sector's biennial survey findings to assist me in updating the estimate of nonitemized giving.

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE DIFFERENCE

DIFFERENCES IN AVERAGE ITEMIZED CONTRIBUTIONS INTENT TO ITEMIZE AND ACTUAL ITEMIZATION ITEMIZING DEDUCTIONS AND ITEMIZING CONTRIBUTIONS NONITEMIZER CONTRIBUTIONS; AVRGE BY INCME CLASS NONITEMIZER CONTRIBUTIONS; INCOME DISTRIBUTION

DIFFERENCES IN AVERAGE ITEMIZED CONTRIBUTIONS

It was possible to make direct comparisons of average itemized contributions by income class. Findings based on Independent Sector (IS) survey data were compared to those based on tax return data (SOI). The IS data were presented in sufficient detail to permit the reconciliation of IS classifications with SOI itemized contributions. (Reconciliation tables are presently in longhand worksheet form. Typed tables will be forthcoming.)

The comparisons for itemized contributions are presented in the left columns of Table PG-5. The average contributions that survey respondentssaid they made (Survey, Q.29) were lower than the average amount persons in the same income class itemized on their tax returns. In seven of the eight income classes average itemized IS contributions were significantly lower than those in SOI, the IS average ranging from 51.4% to 85.6% of the average in SOI. The 153.5% for the \$75-to-\$100 thousand income class seems out of line, however I have not yet had time to explore the basic survey data with IS.

The effect of the differences in average contributions on the estimate of total itemized giving is considerable. If the survey averages are applied to the number of tax returns containing itemized contributions, by income class, total itemized giving turns out to be \$43.26 billion instead of the \$55.33 billion reported in SOI. The overall difference does not appear to be due to any significant difference in income distribution (Table PG-6, middle columns).

INTENT TO ITEMIZE AND ACTUAL ITEMIZATION

Differences also appeared between survey respondents' stated intentions to itemize deductions (Survey, Q.61) and the tax return filers' itemization of deductions. 41.8 percent of IS respondents said that they planned to itemize while 28.4 percent of all tax return filers

ーユー

itemized deductions (Table PG-7, left two columns). As seen in Table PG-6, this seems to be mainly a matter of distribution by income class. A much higher share of people in the lowest three income classes in the tax return population (67.5%) than in the IS survey sample (47.7%). In these three income classes a much higher percentage of survey respondents said that they will itemize deductionns than the tax return data show actually did itemize.

ITEMIZING DEDUCTIONS AND ITEMIZING CONTRIBUTIONS

Differences also appeared in the percentage of persons intending to itemize deductions who said they will (IS) or actually did (SOI) itemize contributions (Table PG-7, right two columns). With the exception of the two highest income classes, the percentage of itemizers who said that they intended to itemize contributions (Survey, Q.62) was significantly below that of those who actually itemized contributions.

NONITEMIZER CONTRIBUTIONS: AVERAGE BY INCOME CLASS

Direct comparison of average nonitemized contributions between survey and tax return data, of course, is not possible. I thus use the differences in average itemized contributions as a device to estimate average nonitemized giving by income class. This adjustment to the IS income class data on average nonitemized contributions is made in Table PG-5 (right columns), where estimates of the differences are given.

The understatement in average contribution is estimated to be greater for nonitemizers than for itemizers. The reasoning is that people not expecting to deduct contributions are less likely to as fully recall contributions than those who expect to deduct contributions.

Average nonitemized contributions from the IS survey were adjusted as described in the right columns of Table PG-5, and the thus adjusted averages were applied to the number of nonitemizing tax returns. Thus estimated, total nonitemized giving was \$37.03 billion, compared to the \$37.69 billion estimated using the "Best Estimate" approach.

NONITEMIZER CONTRIBUTIONS: INCOME DISTRIBUTION

41.4 percent of the survey's nonitemizer respondents were in the two lowest income classes where the degree of understatement appeared to be greatest. However 67.4 percent of contributions nonitemizers on tax returns fall into these classes (Table PG-6, right columns). This must be counted as a major factor in the difference in the estimates of nonitemized contributions.

3

1

1

UNWEIGHTED AND WEIGHTED IS SURVEY ESTIMATES

The IS report presents weighted estimates based upon an income distribution different from that of the unweighted sample. The IS weights used and the distribution of actual sample counts are presented in the lower panel of Table PG-7. Actual sample counts are used in the IS-SOI comparisons contained in this report.

"BEST ESTIMATE" OF NONITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS

"Best Estimate" nonitemizer giving is estimated by income class. An estimate of average nonitemizer giving for an income class is multiplied by the number of nonitemizer tax returns in the class. The products are summed over the classes to produce an estimate of total nonitemized giving.

Average nonitemizer giving by income class is based upon data from a 1973 University of Michigan survey conducted for the Commission on Private Philanthropy and Public Needs (Filer Commission). To provide a breakdown coextensive with subsequent year income classes, income class limits and average contributions per class are projected independently. Income class limits are adjusted using the GNP deflator and average contributions per class are adjusted using an index of the overall average itemized contribution for the year. (The calculation for 1989 is presently in longhand and a typed copy will be forthcoming.) A comparison of average 1989 contributions by income class, usinng projected 1973 Michigan survey data and adjusted Independent Sector survey data, is presented in Table PG-9.

THE PERSONAL GIVING ESTIMATING MODEL (PGEM)

The most recent "Best Estimate" of total personal giving cannot be current because there is a lag in the tax return data upon which it is based. Thus far this has required a projection of three years to produce an estimate for the year just ended.

The PGEM is a single equation model with five explanatory variables, contemporary for the year for which the projection is made. The specified relationship is linear in logarithms. The dependent variable is Total Personal Giving and the five independent variables are Personal Income, Giving Age Population, Standard and Poors November-December Index, Time, and Political Party of the President.

Probably the most important omitted variable is the marginal tax rate, however a satisfactory single measure of a weighted average marginal income tax rate was not found. I am considering the possible inclusion of an Expectation-Of-Tax-Change variable which might capture the process of moving contributions forward or

backward by a year in anticipation of announced changes in tax rates and other tax developments such as the beginning and ending of the Charitable Contributions Law.

As described in detail in my 1986 study "The Amount of Total Personal Giving in the United States, 1948-1982, With Projections to 1985 Using The Personal Giving Estimating Model" (full citation in Table PG-8 footnote) each explanatory variable was found to be statistically significant and its parameter showed stability in the face of generally high multicollinearity. There was no significant serial correlation. The reader is referred to the 1986 study for a more complete discussion of the PGEM.

The record of the projection of total personal giving from the most recent "Best Estimate" year to the year then just ended, until now a three-year projection, is summarized in Table PG-8.

TABLE PG-1

Estimates of Total Personal Giving As Presented in Giving USA,
"Best Estimate" Series, 1948-1989 (Dollar Values in Billions)

Year	Total Personal	Itemized Giving:				Nonitemia Giving:	lonitemized	
	Giving	Amount	%	Amount	%			
1948	3.90	1.88	48.3	2.02	51.7			
1952	5.52	3.12	56.4	2.40	43.6			
1956	7.33	4.88	66.5	2.45	33.5			
1960	9.16	6.75	73.7	2.41	26.3			
1964	11.19	8.33	74.4	2.86	25.6			
1968	14.75	11.14	75.5	3.61	24.5			
1970	16.19	12.89	79.6	3.30	20.4			
1972	19.37	13.21	68.2	6.16	31.8			
1974	21.60	14.85	68.8	6.75	31.2			
1976	26.32	16.79	63.8	9.53	36.2			
1978	32.10	19.69	61.3	12.41	38.7			
1980	40.71	25.81	63.4	14.90	36.6			
1981	46.42	30.80	65.9	15.62	34.1			
1982	48.52	33.47*	69.0	15.05	31.0			
1983	53.54	37.68*	70.4	15.86	29.6			
1984	58.62	42.12*	71.9	16.50	28.1			
1985	65.93	47.96*	72.7	17.97	27.3			
1986	74.59	53.81*	72.1	20.78	27.9			
1987	75.92	49.62	65.4	26.29	34.6			
1988	84.70	50.95	60.2	33.75	39.8			
1989(p)	93.02	55.33	59.5	37.69	40.5			

^{* =} Does not include contributions itemized on standard deduction returns under the Charitable Contributions Law.

TABLE PG-2

Total Number of Tax Returns and Percent Itemizing All Deductions and Percent Itemizing Contributions, 1948-1989, (Millions of Returns)

Year	Number of Returns (1)	Percent Ite All Deds. (2)	emizing: Con- tribns (3)	(2)/(3) × 100 (4)	Stan- dard Ded.** (5)
1948	52.07	17.0	15.0	88.3	1000
1952	56.53	22.7	21.2	93.1	1000
1956	59.20	31.2	29.7	95.4	1000
1960	61.03	39.5	37.9	95.9	1000
1964	65.38	41.2	39.2	94.2	1000
1968	73.73	43.4	4 0.9	94.2	1000
1970 1972 1974 1976 1978	74.28 77.57 83.34 84.60 89.78	47.7 34.8 35.5 30.6 28.7	45.3 33.2 33.4 28.9 26.7	94.0 95.6 94.0 94.2 92.9	1000 2000 2000 2000 2800 3200
1980	93.90	30.8	28.3	91.9	3400
1981	95.40	33.1	30.4	91.8	3400
1982	95.34	35.1	32.0*	91.3	3400
1983	96.32	36.6	33.3*	90.9	3400
1984	99.44	38.4	34.8*	90.6	3400
1985	101.66	39.2	35.6*	90.7	3540
1986	103.05	39.5	35.6*	90.3	3670
1987	107.00	33.3	30.1	90.5	3760
1988	109.71	29.1	26.5	91.2	5000
1989(p)	112.28	28.4	26.0	91.3	5200

3

J.

^{* =} Does not include contributors who itemized on standard deduction returns under the Charitable Contributions Law.

^{** =} Married, filing joint return.

TABLE PG-3

The Charitable Contributions Law and Itemization of Contributions 1980-1989

Year	All Returns	Perc Crtbns Iter Itemi- zers	ent of Total nized: Std. Ded.	: Not Itemi- zers	Max. CCL Ded.
Amount (\$1	Billions)				
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989(p)	40.71 46.42 48.52 53.54 58.62 65.93 74.59 75.92 84.70 93.02	63.4 65.9 70.0 70.4 71.9 72.7 72.1 65.4 60.2 59.5	1.0 1.1 2.9 14.3 17.8	36.6 34.1 30.0 28.5 25.2 13.0 10.1 34.6 39.8 40.5	\$25 \$25 \$25 50% 100%
Number of	Returns (mi	illions)			
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 (ç)	93.90 95.40 95.34 96.32 99.44 101.66 103.05 107.00 109.71 112.28	28.3 30.4 32.0 33.2 34.8 35.6 35.6 30.1 26.5 26.0	20.2 23.4 23.0 24.9 27.2	71.7 69.6 47.8 43.4 42.2 39.5 37.2 69.9 73.5 74.0	\$25 \$25 \$75 50% 100%

TABLE PG-4
Other Itemized Deductions Affecting Itemization of Contributions 1970-1989

Year	All	Perc	Percent Itemizing:				
	Returns	All	Tax-			Cntr-	Stan- dard
	(millions)		es	trst	ical	btns	Ded.
1970	74.28	47.7	47.3	39.3	37.0	45.3	1000
1971	74.56	41.1	-	-	-	-	1500
1972	77.57	34.8	34.6	30.7	27.0	33.2	2000
1973	80.69	34.8	34.6	30.7	26.6	32.8	2000
1974	83.34	35.5	35.3	31.3	26.7	33.4	2000
1975	82.23	31.7	31.5	28.4	23.7	30.0	2600
1976	84.60	30.6	30.5	27.6	22.5	28.9	2800
1977	86.64	26.4	26.3	24.1	18.8	24.9	3200
1978	89.78	28.7	28.5	26.2	19.9	26.7	3200
1979	92.69	28.6	28.4	26.4	19.4	26.5	3400
1980	93.90	30.8	30.6	28.4	20.7	28.3	3400
1981	95.40	33.1	32.8	30.1	22.2	30.4	3400
1982	95.34	35.1	34.7	31.7	23.1	32.0	3400
1983	96.32	36.6	36.2	32.9	10.1	33.3	3400
1984	99.44	38.4	38.1	34.7	10.7	34.8	3400
1985	101.66	39.2	38.8	35.7	10.6	35.6	3540
1986	103.05	39.5	39.2	36.2	10.2	35.6	3670
1987	107.00	33.3	32.6	31.0	05.0	30.1	3760
1988	109.71	29.1	28.6	27.0	04.4	26.5	5000
1989(p) 🔧	112.28	28.4	27.9	26.2	04.5	26.0	5200

TABLE PG-5

Average Itemized and Non-Itemized Contributions, By Income Class, Independent Sector Survey (IS) Compared to Tax Return Data (SOI) 1989

Income Class (\$000)	Average Itemized Contributions IS SOI IS/SOI	Average Non-Item- ized Contributions IS SOI* IS/SOI*
Under 10	0475 0760 0.625	0138 0276 0.500
10 to 20	0640 1097 0.583	0205 0410 0.500
20 to 30	0976 1140 0.856	0376 0537 0.700
30 to 40	0981 1184 0.829	0597 0853 0.700
40 to 50	1075 1318 0.816	0393 0561 0.700
50 to 75	1297 1607 0.807	0646 0922 0.700
75 to 100	3236 2108 1.535	1684 2105 0.800
100 & over	3488 6790 0.514	1176 1470 0.800

^{* =} estimate

TABLE PG-6

1989 Distribution of Number of Observations, By Income Class, Independent Sector Survey (IS) Compared to Tax Return Data (SOI)

Income Class \$(000)	All Rsp- ndts	All Re- turns	Cntrb Itemi		Crtbr Itemi:	Non- zers
	IS	SOI	IS	SOI	IS	SOI
Under 10 10 to 20 20 to 30 30 to 40 40 to 50 50 to 75 75 to 100 100 & over	17.4 17.8 15.2 09.5 18.5 05.3	29.0 23.3 15.2 10.8 07.6 08.9 02.7 02.6	03.0 08.1 13.1 16.1 13.3 29.0 10.0 07.3	26.3 09.2	18.3 23.1 20.7 14.8 07.1 12.0 02.3 01.7	28.9 16.0 08.8 04.3 02.8
Number	2547	112.3 million	0968	29.2 million	1579	83.1 million

TABLE PG-7

Itemization of All deductions and Contributions, By Income Class, Independent Sector Survey (IS) Compared to Tax Return Data (SDI) 1989

3

3

Percent of	Total:	Ctbrs % Ite	mizers Itmzd on Tax Retrns SOI
Rspts:	Retrns:	Will	
Will	Itemized	Itemize	
Itemize	Deduc-	Contri-	
Dedctns	tions	tions	
IS	SOI	IS	
13.2	2.3	48.9	69.1
21.7	9.8	51.0	81.8
32.1	25.0	69.9	86.9
45.2	44.3	75.0	89.9
58.4	63.1	71.6	92.5
62.6	80.4	69.6	95.5
73.3	91.6	92.9	96.4
73.3	95.3	84.7	96.5
1065/ 2547	28.4 31.9/ 112.3 million	74.5 793/ 1065	91.3 29.2/ 31.9 million
	Rspts: Will Itemize Dedctns IS 13.2 21.7 32.1 45.2 58.4 62.6 73.3 73.3 41.8	Will Itemized Deductions IS SOI 13.2 2.3 21.7 9.8 32.1 25.0 45.2 44.3 58.4 63.1 62.6 80.4 73.3 91.6 73.3 95.3 41.8 28.4 1065/ 31.9/ 2547 112.3	Rspts: Retrns: Will Itemized Itemize Deductors tions tions IS SOI IN SOI IS SOI IN SOI IS SOI IN SOI IS SOI IN SOI

Income Class (\$000)	Independent Sector Survey			
ניייייין	Unwtd	Wtd		
Under 10 10 to 20 20 to 30 30 to 40 40 to 50 50 to 75 75 to 100 100 & Over	12.5 17.4 17.8 15.2 9.5 18.5 5.3	12.6 17.2 18.9 15.5 10.3 15.5 5.1		
100 4 0 461	3.0	3.4		
Total	100.0	98.5		

TABLE PG-8

Comparison of Estimates of Total Personal Giving Projected By the Personal Giving Estimating Model (PGEM) with the "Best Estimate" Series Based Upon Tax Return Data, 1983-1989.

Year	"Best Esti- mate"	Projections: TPG Edition of Giving USA US* 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	53.5 58.6 65.9 74.6 75.9 84.7	55.1 60.7 60.7 66.1 65.7 66.2 71.7 72.2 76.2 77.1 80.8 78.0 86.7 86.3 86.5
1989	93.0(p)	96.4 96.8

^{*} Ralph L. Nelson THE AMOUNT OF TOTAL PERSONAL GIVING IN THE UNITED STATES, 1948-1982 (With Projections to 1985 Using The Personal Giving Estimating Model), United Way Institute, June 1986.

TABLE PG-9

Estimated Average Nonitemized Contributions By Income Class, Adjusted Independent Sector Survey Averages Compared to the Projected Michigan Survey Averages Used in the "Best Estimate" 1989

Income Class \$(000)	Ave. Itemi Contributio Adjstd IS		% Nonite- mizing Returns (SOI)
Under 10	276	250	38.5
10 to 20	410	322	28.9
20 to 30	537	552	16.0
30 to 40	853	842	8.8
40 to 50	561	1190	4.3
50 to 75	922	1280	2.8
75 to 100	2105	1280	0.4
100 & Over	1470	6619	0.3

100.0

GIVING AND VOLUNTEERING IN THE UNITED STATES: FINDINGS FROM A NATIONAL SURVEY, 1990 Edition, Washington, D.C., The Independent Sector, 1990. The information was obtained from in-home personal interviews conducted from March 23 to May 20, 1990.

Q.61	A.6	ii.	
For your 1989 federal tax return	1	Yes	
that is normally due by April 15,			
1990, will you itemize your	2	No	7
deductions, that is use the 1040			GO TO Q.63
long form and Schedule A?	9	Don't know/No answer	
			_1

Q.62	A.62	
Will you be claiming a deduction	1	Yes
for charitable contributions?	2	No
	. 9	Don't know

Q.927	A.92	27		
Would you please give me the	1	Under \$7,000	8	\$35,000 - \$39,999
letter of the group which best				
represents, before taxes, the	. 2	\$7,000 - \$9,999	9	\$40,000 - \$49,999
total annual income in 1989				
— including wages, salaries,	3	\$10,000 - \$14,999	10	\$50,000 - \$59,999
interest, dividends, social				
security, and other forms,	4	\$15,000 - \$19,999	11	\$60,000 - \$74,999
before taxes, of all the				
members of your immediate	5	\$20,000 - \$24,999	12	\$75,000 - \$99,999
family living in your				
household? (JUST READ OFF	6	\$25,000 - \$29,999	13	\$100,000 or more
THE LEITER)				
	7	\$30,000 - \$34,999		

Q.29 (APPENDIX A)

Listed on this card are examples of the many different fields in which people and families contribute money or other property for charitable purposes. I mean making a voluntary contribution and not with the intention of making a profit, or obtaining goods and/or services for yourself. In which, if any, of the fields listed on this card have you and the members of your family or household contributed some money or other property in 1989?

(JUST READ OFF THE LETTER OF EACH FIELD. RECORD BELOW UNDER A.29)

	A.22		_A_30		A.31 Estimate	A.31a	A.32 (IF TYPE IS UNKN
				izations More	Dollars Including Value of		RECORD NAME O ORGANIZATION)
	Yes	No	One	Than One	Property		Type
a. Health	1	2	1	2	\$	s	TVE
b. Education	, 1	2	1	2	s	s	
c. Religious organizations	1	2	1	2	s	s	
d. Human services	1	2	1	2 **	s	s	
e. Environment	1	2	1	2	s	s	
f. Public/society benefit	1	, 2	1	2	s	s	· ·
g. Recreation - adults	1	2	1	2	s	s	
h. Arts, culture, & humanities	1	2	1	2	s	s	
i. Work-related organizations	1	2	1	2	s	s	****
j. Political organizations	1	2	1	2	s	s	
k. Youth development	1	2	1	2	s	s	
1. Private and community foundations	1	2	1	2	s	s	
m. International/foreign	1	2	1	2	s	s	
n. Other(PLEASE SPECIFY	1	2	1	2	s		

o9 None of these (SKIP TO Q.41)

1

1

3

SOIBULLETIN



Volume 10, Number 4

Spring 1991

Contents of This Issue

Page

- SOI Bulletin Board.
- Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data, 1989
- Private Foundation Returns, 1986 and 1987
- 51 Selected Historical Data

Figure H.— Selected Itemized Deductions and the Standard Deduction, Tax Years 1988 and 1989 [Number of returns are in thousands—money amounts are in millions of dollars]

1	19	68	19	189	Percentage
Deduction	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	change in amount
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Total Itemized deductions	31,903	\$395,216	31,930	\$424,687	7.5%
Medical and dental expenses	4,810	17,994	5,074	20,499	13.9
Taxes paid	31,328	120,628	31,384	131,410	8.9
Interest paid 1	29.631	179,738	29.391	188,411	4.8
Home mortgage	25,993	149,166	26,346	167,188	12.1
Personal interest	25.839	16,281	25,000	8.008	-50.8
Contributions	29,111	50,949	29,163	55,329	8.6
Casualty or theft losses	133	1,236	185	1,709	38.3
Moving expenses	912	3,634	899	3,940	8.4
Miscellaneous expenses after limitation	7,444	19,408	7,433	21,438	10.5
Other miscellaneous expenses	822.	1,629	697	1,951	19.8
Standard deduction	76,472 \	289,564	79,627	311,186	7.5

*Also includes investment intervent and deduction by prints not snown separately.

NOTES: Those returns with AGI less than or equal to zero are not included in deduction counts. For this reason, the sum of the number of returns for total itemized deductions and total standard deduction is less than the total number of returns for all filers. Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

Publication 1136 (Rev. 5-91) Catalog No. 46996F

Number of Numb						hemized	hemized deductions				
Till	Size of expushed gross knows		1	Medical and dental	erpense deduction	bleq seudi	deduction	Interest paid deduction	d deduction	Contribution	Contributions deduction
TT1		Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Humber of returns	Amount
31,530,674 424,686,813 5,074,083 20,486,443 31,381,714 131,409,371 131,409,371 131,409,371 131,409,371 131,409,371 132,016 64,381 2255,225 553,024 64,381 2255,225 513,007 64,381 123,4284 123,4		(71)	822)	(E)	(44)	(7.5)	(9.2)	(m)	(0.4)	(79)	(09)
148.297 1.359.165 164.540 560.694 125.043 168.681 1.492.337 1.359.165 1.492.337 1.359.165 1.255.225 513.007 644.981 1.255.25 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.225 1.355.237 1.355.2	All returns, total	31,930,074	424,686,913	5,074,083	20,498,643	31,363,714	131,409,531	29,381,090	188,411,379	29,162,820	55,328,974
148.297 1.359,165 94,540 560,694 125,043 168,081 168,081 161,1991 5.222,828 513,007 64,391 1.254,284 1.257,222 604,391 2.255,225 513,007 15,422,284 1.254,284 1.257,202 604,390 2.547,031 1.422,990 2.079,201 1.5827,850 650,308 2.547,031 1.422,990 2.079,201 1.5827,850 650,308 2.547,031 1.422,990 2.079,201 1.5827,850 650,308 2.547,031 1.422,990 2.079,201 1.5827,850 650,308 2.447,121 5.324,690 44,46,997 5.075,248 654,791 1.246,775 5.324,690 44,46,997 5.075,248 654,791 1.252,048 56,286 07,997,44 3716,844 2.426,648 7.797,744 31,466,775 1.587,845 60,960 110,19	No adjusted gross income	t	1	l	1	1	1	ł	1	ı	1
611,991 5,202,828 392,981 2,255,225 513,007 64,981 64,981 1,095,337 1,095,337 1,095,337 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,095,337 1,1986,671 664,806 2,247,091 1,422,920 2,079,701 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,294,84 1,292,344 1,29	Si under \$5,000	148.297	1,359,165	94,540	560,694	125.043	168,661	107,525	524,439	83,309	39.726
1,057,579 0,777,202 604,390 2,865,332 95,978 1,224,284 1,590,337 1,1886,671 664,808 2,547,031 1,422,950 2,079,201 1,581,047 15,827,850 650,308 2,166,977 1,891,303 3,192,760 2,075,201 1,592,047 546,877 1,592,251,680 4,446,997 2,307,042 5,307,042 5,093,34 6,857,34 546,877 2,251,680 1,2467,997 1,592,048 1,592,744 31,466,777 1,592,048 1,972,411 17,800,285 1,597,744 31,466,775 1,597,344 6,056,347 1,00,190 7,10,525 2,778,227 15,871,679 1,972,341 17,800,285 1,673,34 1,973,341 17,800,285 1,673,34 1,973,341 17,800,285 1,973,341 17,800,28	\$5.000 under \$10.000.	611.991	5.202.828	392,981	2,255,225	513,007	644.981	412.958	1,690,771	432,008	359,524
1,490,337 11,889,671 664,806 2,547,091 1,422,950 2,079,201 1,595,337 11,899,671 664,806 2,547,091 1,422,950 2,079,201 1951,097 19,266,474 549,816 2,116,723 2,251,690 12,467,997 19,226,474 69,977 19,891,333 3,192,760 12,467,997 19,272,449 12,099,91 100,972,341 514,934 2,426,646 7,979,744 31,465,775 15,871,579 19,774 10,972,341 10,939 10,939 110,199 110,197 10,535 10,539,441 11,923,918 119,147 10,639,248 2,407,631,881,392 118,669 118,629,919 119,23,018 119,147 10,639,248 2,444,978 12,399,84 32,884,449 2,538,449,375 13,862,649 12,382,378 13,882,348 12,382,388 13,832,38	\$10,000 under \$15,000	1.057.579	8,777,202	604,390	2,865,332	929.878	1,294,264	794,763	2,962,922	816,598	902,267
1,951,087 15,827,850 650,308 2,186,877 1,891,383 3,192,780 2,307,042 19,286,474 8540,818 1,716,723 2,251,850 4,446,997 8,416,997 8,415,034 856,798 2,441,121 5,324,899 12,467,907 8,415,034 86,018,941 10,0972,341 578,684 2,205,828 5,377,222 15,847,267 110,190 110,	\$15,000 under \$20,000	1,490,337	11,889,671	664,808	2,547,091	1,422,950	2,079,201	1,260,548	5,006,559	1,267,050	1,383,488
2.307.042 19.286.474 540.816 1.716,723 2.251.850 4,446.997 45.251.850 12.251.850 12.466.997 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.251.850 12.467.907 12.451.851 12.852.850 12.467.907 12.451.851 12.852.850 12.467.907 12.451.851 12.852.850 12.467.907 12.451.851 12.852.850 12.852.	\$20,000 under \$25,000	1.951.087	15.827.850	620,308	2.186.977	1,891,383	3,192,760	1,728,469	7,074,104	1,678,485	1,978,537
5.372.456 46.920.334 86.67.796 2.441.121 5.324.659 12.467.807 8.67.807 8.67.802 8.67.802 8.67.802 8.67.802 8.67.803 8.67	\$25,000 under \$30,000	2.307.042	19,286,474	548,818	1,716,723	2,251,680	4,446,997	2,134,916	9,210,997	2,023,207	2,243,169
5.415,034 5.8.206,164 578,854 2.052,826 5.377,232 15,847,253 15,847,878 15,849,828 12,842,728 15,847,878 12,849,828 12,842,728 12,842,842 12,84	\$30,000 under \$40,000	5.372.458	46,920,334	856,798	2,441,121	5,324,659	12,467,907	5,049,093	24,676,507	4,826,934	5,714,321
8,016,981 100,972,341 514,934 2,426,648 7,979,744 31,466,775 2,787,851 47,850,063 110,190 710,525 2,778,227 15,871,679 1,973,344 50,584,077 48,105 48,105 48,536 1,973,411 17,800,285 6,00,603 28,789,060 6,000 197,899 660,831 11,922,018 6,1,272 16,239,248 958 38,853 118,656 5,161,354 5,1,272 18,239,048 4,147,678 18,339,828 60,833 9,044,375 30,450,448 4,402,033,888 14,47,678 18,339,828 60,833 9,044,375	\$40,000 under \$50,000	5.415,034	58,208,164	578,854	2.052,828	5,377,252	15,847,253	5,134,525	27,726,464	5.010,984	6,602,174
2,787.851 47,850.083 110,190 710,525 2,778,227 15,871,679 15,073,594 50,558,407 48,105 605,306 13,973,411 17,800,285 118,659 118,659 111,973,019 119,147 10,839,249 2,044,375 201 13,362 60,833 118,659 5,141,354 201 13,362 60,833 118,659 60,64,375 30,460,449 402,033,899 4,147,679 13,362 90,000,989 128,836,493 2,885,4	\$50,000 under \$75,000	8,018,981	100,972,341	514.934	2,426,648	7,979,744	31,466,775	7,680,220	48,161,066	7,655,998	12,301,222
1.979.394 50.558.407 48.105 488,366 1.973.411 17.800.285 609.305 19.7898 606.331 11.923.018 11.923.018 11.923.018 61.272 18.229.095 201 13.362 60.833 118.636 61.835 904.375 304.60,48 402.033.899 44.447.678 12.839.88 304.100,888 128.834,449 2	\$75,000 under \$100,000	2.787.851	47,850,083	110,190	710,525	2,778,227	15,871,679	2,592,807	22,120,364	2,686,553	5,664,125
609,603 28,769,050 8,200 197,896 606,331 11,923,018 119,230,018 119,147 118,259,045 5,161,354 12,122 28,823,045,048 402,031,999 4147,678 12,129,045 28,129,048 128	\$100,000 under \$200,000	1.979,394	50,558,407	48,105	485,366	1.973.411	17,800,285	1,799,522	21.864,059	1,906,884	6,734,795
119,147 10,839,248 958 38,853 118,656 5,161,354 (61,272 18,229,095 4,147,878 12,898,28 30,100,986 128,824,048 12,808,048	\$200,000 under \$500,000	609,603	28,769,050	9,200	197,898	606.931	11,923,018	539,302	10,706.567	589,286	4,250,805
61,272 18,229,095 201 13,362 60,893 9,044,375 30,450,048 128,824,0	\$500,000 under \$1,000,000	119,147	10,839,248	928	38,853	118,656	5,161,354	103,823	3,092,672	115,581	2,123,684
30,450,046 402,031,999 4,147,678 12,439,226 30,100,986 128,048 1	\$1,000,000 and over	61,272	18,229,095	28	13,362	60,893	9,044,375	52,617	3,593,889	59,945	5,031,135
1 ABO 628 92 848 628 ADE 7.858.718 1.282.728 2.565.483	Taxable returns, total	30.450.048	402.033.999	4,147,678	12,839,928	30,100,984	128,024,040	20,262,710	179,523,915	28,082,651	53,076,636
	Nontaxable returns, total	1,480,026	22,652,915	926,405	7,050,715	1,282,728	2,585,483	1,128,380	8,867,464	1,080,169	1,452,336

a Die
รี
Ē
#
potnotes
ď

	mpies—money an	Pulling are in
Size of adjusted gress income	Number of returns	Adjusted grass encore less deficit (AGI)
	ε	ē
All returns, total	112,279,986	3,291,413,132
No adjusted gross income	721,525	-30,505,082
\$1 under \$5,000	16.761.868	41,628,970
\$5,000 under \$10,000	15.032,901	112,025,735
\$10,000 under \$15,000	14,348,584	178,303,046
\$15,000 under \$20,000	11,761,209	204,989,941
\$20,000 under \$25,000	9.361.682	209,595,894
\$25,000 under \$30,000	7,649,156	210,132,689
\$30,000 under \$40,000	12,136,095	421,525,845
\$40,000 under \$50,000	8,577,458	383,083,044
\$50,000 under \$75,000	9,978,417	598,214,907
\$75.000 under \$100.000	3,044,653	259,758,935
\$100,000 under \$200,000	2,097,490	277,814,410
\$200,000 under \$500,000	625,710	183,081,370
\$500,000 under \$1,000,000	121,253	82,341,696
\$1,000,000 and over	61,987	159,421,731
Tarable seturns, total	89.422.362	3,183,367,362
Montaxable refurns, total	22.057.626	108,045,770